IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.GOPAL REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 18544 of 2004

Between:

1 Ahmed Alam Khan, S/o. Nawab Sha Alam Khan,
R/o. 8-4-762, Rahat Kadu, Barkatpura, Hyderabad.
2 Mehmood Alam Khan, S/o. Nawab Sha Alam Khan,
R/o. 8-4-762, Rahat Kadu, Barkatpura, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER(S)

AND

1 The Collector, R.R.District.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Vikarabad.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Pudar Mandal, R.R. District.
4 Y. Narsaiah, S/o. Lakshmaiah,
R/o. Yenkepally Village, Purdur Mandal, R.R. District.
5 Nallolla Enkaiah, S/o. Anthaiah,
R/o. Yenkepally Village, Purdur Mandal, R.R. District.
6 N. Buchaiah, S/o. N. Ramaiah,
R/o. Yenkepally Village, Purdur Mandal, R.R. District.
7 Lakshmaiah, S/o. Anthaiah,
R/o. Yenkepally Village, Purdur Mandal, R.R. District.
8 Ch. Chennaiah, S/o. Ch. Pochaiah,
R/o. Yenkepally Village, Purdur Mandal, R.R. District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to pass an order or orders one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus for not mutating the name of petitioner in R.O.R. i.e. Revenue Records including issuance of Pattedar Pass book and title deeds in spite of making an application for mutation as per the ROR on 26-3-2004 to the respondent No. 3 and declaring the action of the respondent No. 3 which is totally illegal, arbitrary and unconditional and against the

principal of natural justice.

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.SURESH SHIV SAGAR, Advocate.

Counsel for Respondent Nos.1 to 3.: Govt. Pleader for Revenue.

Counsel for respondent Nos. 4 to 8:

The Court at the stage of admission made the following:

Oral Order:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and also learned Government Pleader for Revenue for R1 to R3 and both the counsel have argued and requested to dispose of the main writ petition itself.

It is stated that petitioners having purchased the land in Sy.No.9/15 admeasuring Ac.3.18 gs. in Sy.No.9/16 admeasuring Ac.3.18 gs. in Sy.No.9/17 admeasuring Ac.2.25 gts. total admeasuring 12 acres gts. made an application to the MRO on 26-03-2004 to mutate their names in the revenue records in the light of acquiring properties under the registered sale deeds. But so far the MRO has not disposed of the said application. Hence, the present writ petition.

When an applicant made application for mutation of his/her name in the revenue records on acquisition of rights in the property, the revenue authorities are under obligation to consider the same and pass appropriate orders after due opportunity.

In view of the same, the MRO is hereby directed to consider the representation of the petitioners and pass appropriate orders within eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order after following the due procedure.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

_____ A.GOPAL REDDY, J.

11-10-2004

Murthy

ASSISTANT. REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1 The Collector, R.R.District.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Vikarabad, R.R.District.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Pudar Mandal, R.R. District.
4 2CCs to the Govt. Pleader for Revenue, High Court Buildings,

Hyderabad (OUT)

2.2CD copies.